Small Left Ventricular Size Is an Independent Risk Factor for Ventricular Assist Device Thrombosis
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The prevalence of ventricular assist device (VAD) therapy has continued to increase due to a stagnant donor supply and growing advanced heart failure (HF) population. We hypothesize that left ventricular (LV) size strongly influences biocompatibility and risk of thrombosis. Unsteady computational fluid dynamics (CFD) was used in conjunction with patient-derived computational modeling and virtual surgery with a standard, apically implanted inflow cannula. A dual-focus approach of evaluating thrombogenicity was employed: platelet-based metrics to characterize the platelet environment and flow-based metrics to investigate hemodynamics. Left ventricular end-diastolic dimensions (LVEDDs) ranging from 4.5 to 6.5 cm were studied and ranked according to relative thrombogenic potential. Over 150,000 platelets were individually tracked in each LV model over 15 cardiac cycles. As LV size decreased, platelets experienced markedly increased shear stress histories (SHs), whereas platelet residence time (RT) in the LV increased with size. The complex interplay between increased SH and longer RT has profound implications on thrombogenicity, with a significantly higher proportion of platelets in small LVs having long RT times and being subjected to high SH, contributing to thrombus formation. Our data suggest that small LV size, rather than decreased VAD speed, is the primary pathologic mechanism responsible for the increased incidence of thrombosis observed in VAD patients with small LVs. ASAIO Journal 2019; 65:152–159.
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More than 5 million people suffer from heart failure (HF) in the United States alone, and nearly 1 million new cases are diagnosed annually.¹ Approximately 10% of the HF population in the United States progress to medical therapy refractory advanced HF (stage D HF).² The increasing prevalence of stage D HF, coupled with a stagnant donor supply, has resulted in an exponentially growing demand for left ventricular assist device (LVAD) therapy.³ While the 1-year survival rate for LVAD patients has significantly improved and is currently approaching 90%,³,⁴,⁵ there is still a high risk for devastating complications, such as neurologic events and device thrombosis.⁵,⁶,⁷,⁸ Thus, there is a strong need for quantitative methods to assess risk factors that influence thrombogenicity of LVAD therapy.

Among anatomic variables with a strong effect on hemodynamics, small left ventricle (LV) size has an important and frequently overlooked influence on LVAD performance and thrombogenicity. This may occur in HF patients with natively small LVs, as well as patients whose LV reverse remodels with mechanical unloading during LVAD support. As HF prevalence has increased, so has the number of patients with relatively small LV diameters. However, assessment of biocompatibility has yet to be performed for this cohort.⁹,¹⁰,¹¹

Thrombus initiation is profoundly impacted by hemodynamics; platelets sense their microenvironment and initiate the coagulation cascade under certain mechanical and chemical conditions.¹²,¹³ Unfavorable intraventricular hemodynamics such as stasis and high shear exposure zones activate platelets traversing the LV, leading to thrombosis or cerebrovascular events.¹⁴,¹⁵,¹⁶ Anecdotal evidence has pointed to LV size as a possible risk factor for LVAD thrombosis.¹⁷,¹⁸,¹⁹ However, the influence of LV size on LVAD performance and thrombogenicity has not formally been quantified. Assessing thrombogenicity entails elucidating the platelet microenvironment, i.e., a Lagrangian approach that tracks and explores platelet activation via shear stress exposure and potential for blood stasis.²⁰,²¹

We hypothesize that smaller LV volumes contribute to unfavorable hemodynamics, adversely influencing thrombogenicity of LVAD therapy. We use a combination of patient-derived anatomical models, virtual surgery, and unsteady CFD to model intraventricular hemodynamics. Using our previously published methodology,²² we computed platelet-environment–based thrombogenic indices platelet residence time (RT) and shear stress history (SH) to quantify the impact of LV size on thrombogenicity of LVAD therapy. Our methodology is clinically generalizable and employs a device-neutral approach to incorporate LV size considerations to evaluate and optimize ventricular assist device (VAD) therapy.

Methods

Blood flow in the LV is simulated within three-dimensional (3D) patient-derived models of an average (6.5 cm) and small-sized (4.5 cm) LV implanted with an LVAD inflow cannula via virtual surgery.
LV SIZE AND LVAD THROMBOSIS RISK

Virtual Surgery

A patient-derived anatomical LV model was created by image segmentation of computed tomography (CT) images (70 kg M) with an idiopathic, nonischemic, dilated cardiomyopathy (left ventricular end-diastolic diameter [LVEDd] of 6.5 cm). To preserve fidelity with a small LV without inadvertently introducing confounding anatomic variables, the LV was scaled down geometrically to create a model with an LVEDd of 4.5 cm. Apical cannulation was performed in standard surgical fashion with a 27 mm inflow cannula, consistent with contemporary clinical practice (Figure 1).

Computational Model

Unsteady computational fluid dynamics (CFD) was employed to directly simulate intraventricular hemodynamics. Blood was modeled as a homogeneous, Newtonian fluid using Navier–Stokes equations to simulate intraventricular hemodynamics using high temporal and spatial resolution to capture chaotic flow and development of instabilities.

We simulated the motion of platelet surrogate particles to obtain information about the platelet microenvironment. Three micron diameter neutrally buoyant platelet surrogate particles (massless flow tracers) were injected into the LV through the mitral valve (MV) every 1/10th of a second for 10 seconds, and individually tracked over 15 seconds to evaluate thrombogenic indices (platelet RT, SH exposure, and shear accumulation). Over 150,000 particles are individually tracked for each case, and particle trajectories were constructed as they traverse the LV. The particle RT was calculated by tracking the time each particle remained in the vascular domain:

\[ \text{RT}_i = T_{\text{entrance}}^i - T_{\text{exit}}^i \]

Where \( i \) is an index for each particle, \( T_{\text{entrance}}^i \) represents the time the particle is injected into the domain, and \( T_{\text{exit}}^i \) represents the time the particle trajectory ends as a particle exits the domain or the simulation is terminated. While many factors influence platelet activation, one of the most widely accepted theories is shear-induced platelet activation (SIPA). Lagrangian tracking allows for determination of accumulated shear stress:

\[ \tau (X(t'), t') dt' \]

Where \( \tau \) is the instantaneous shear stress magnitude at time \( t' \) and \( X(t') \) is the platelet's location at that time. For more details about the numerical models, please refer to our previous work.\(^1\)\(^2\) A patient-derived unsteady flow waveform was applied at the MV to achieve a time-averaged flow of 5 L/min for both models. The aortic valve (AV) was assumed closed for the duration of the simulations to replicate full LVAD support.

Global (Eulerian) hemodynamic parameters such as wall shear stress (WSS) were measured and incorporated with local (Lagrangian), particle-based metrics such as RT and SH in evaluating the impact of LV size on thrombogenicity.

Quantifying Thrombogenic Potential

Thrombogenic potential (TP) for each LV model was quantitatively evaluated based on ensemble platelet SH and RT using a modified formula of our previously published thrombogenicity index.\(^1\)

Results

Computational fluid dynamics simulations were performed for both LV models, covering 15 consecutive cardiac cycles. A time varying (unsteady) flow profile was specified at the MV, and data were collected and used after the initial transients (3 seconds) subsided and statistical periodicity was achieved.

Blood Flow Patterns

Intraventricular hemodynamics differed markedly between the average-sized and small LVs. Blood flow velocity was higher in the small LV owing to the reduced cross-sectional area through which the same LVAD input has to flow. As a result, blood entering the LV via the MV began to exit through the LVAD inflow cannula after 0.25 seconds in the smaller
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**Figure 1.** Intraventricular blood flow dynamics highlighted by transport of particles injected at the same point in the cardiac cycle (point P) for both small (4.5 cm) and average (6.5 cm) LV models. LV, left ventricle.
(4.5 cm) ventricle, compared with ≈0.5 seconds in the average-sized (6.5 cm) LV (Figure 1).

There are stagnation zones in both the average-sized and small LV, primarily in the subaortic region. Other areas of stasis were found in the narrow spaces between the inflow cannula and the LV apex.

Blood-Suspended Platelet Metrics

Over 150,000 platelet-surrogate particles were individually tracked for 15 seconds to obtain detailed metrics such as RT and SH. These metrics are used in quantifying thrombogenic indices for each LV model.

Platelet trajectories reveal complex intraventricular paths taken by blood traversing the LV. Both the average and small LV show circuitous paths, with platelets traveling through potential stasis zones near the closed AV and ventricular apex, recirculating around the LV multiple times before finally entering the LVAD inflow cannula. Owing to the unsteady CFD simulation and the nonuniform intraventricular flow, local shear stresses vary temporally and spatially everywhere in the LV. This is evident in Figure 2, where randomly chosen particle trajectories are plotted for both LV models. This demonstrates the spatiotemporal variation of shear stresses by plotting the instantaneous local shear stress on representative platelet trajectories as they traverse the ventricle, and enables investigation of the regions where a platelet experiences locally high shear stresses along its trajectory. Platelets in the smaller LV experience higher instantaneous shear due to high velocity blood flow.
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Figure 2. Representative platelet trajectories depicting the instantaneous shear that they experience as they traverse the LV for both small (4.5 cm) and average (6.5 cm) models. LV, left ventricle.

Evaluation of Thrombogenic Potential

Composite TP was evaluated for both LV sizes using a modified formula of our previously published metric,21 which enabled incorporation of the percentage of particles in the HT zone in Figure 6. Various statistical descriptors of platelet RT and SH distributions are combined into a single metric to quantify the relative TP of LVAD support of both a small (4.5 cm) and average-sized (6.5 cm) LV, shown in Table 1. Left ventricular assist device support was demonstrated to be more thrombogenic for the smaller LV with a TP score of 0.80, mainly due to unfavorable hemodynamics and an increased probability of platelets being exposed to high shear, initiating activation and aggregation. Note that the TP score is relative; i.e., a TP score of 0 does not indicate absence of thrombogenicity, it only indicates lower thrombogenicity compared with the other case studied.

Discussion

Cerebrovascular events and thrombosis remain the most devastating complications of LVAD therapy.13,22,24 As LVAD therapy continues to increase in prevalence, risk factors for poor outcomes need to be evaluated closely, including anatomic
variables such as LV size.\textsuperscript{16,25–27} Investigations of intraventricular flow are limited,\textsuperscript{28–30} with the impact of LV size on thrombogenicity still unexplored. Observational evidence of the influence of LV size on adverse events\textsuperscript{10–12} has not been mechanistically confirmed. Interdependencies among intraventricular flow, LV size, and LVAD speed complicate assessment of thrombogenicity. Using Lagrangian analysis of platelet trajectories inside patient-derived LV models with different sizes, we demonstrate that, for a similar blood flow rate, smaller intraventricular volumes are associated with a significantly larger proportion of platelets subjected to both high RT and accumulating high SH, indicating elevated thrombogenic risk. This has profound clinical implications, highlighting the need to consider the complex interplay of VAD speed, patient mean arterial pressure, and LV size in clinical decision-making. In summary, it is imperative to dismiss the “one size fits all” approach in favor of a multidimensional clinical strategy of patient care.

Using computational modeling and virtual surgery, we created two geometrically similar LV size models—a small LV with an LVEDd of approximately 4.5 cm and an average-sized model with an LVEDd of approximately 6.5 cm. Left ventricular assist device support in patients with small intraventricular volumes (irrespective of etiology) results in markedly different hemodynamics compared with average-sized ventricles, strongly influencing biocompatibility. Consequently, small LV geometry occurring natively or with reverse remodeling with LVAD unloading has major clinical implications.

For a given flow rate, blood transits a small (4.5 cm) LV much faster than an average-sized (6.5 cm) LV. In our study, a nominal 5 L/min enters the LV through the MV with a closed AV, modeling full VAD support. As a result, all blood entering the LV exited via the LVAD inflow cannula. Median RT of platelets for the small LV was 0.77 seconds, nearly three times lower than the 2.1 seconds RT in the average-sized LV. However, the tails of the distributions of RT are extremely long for both cases, with platelets remaining in the LV for the duration of the simulation (>15 seconds). Blood trapped in areas of stagnation such as proximal to the AV and the narrow space between the inflow cannula and the LV apex is a necessary condition for platelet aggregation, especially if they have been activated before lodging in the high RT regions, and act as a nidus for thrombus formation.

Intraventricular hemodynamics exacerbate thrombogenicity of LVAD therapy via SIPA.\textsuperscript{20,31} This mode of platelet activation is distinct from an unfavorable environment inside the LVAD, as platelets exposed to detrimental hemodynamic environments in the ventricle initiate the process of activation and aggregation prior to entering the LVAD. When this occurs, platelets are predisposed to form microthrombi. In our comparative study, despite flow transiting the smaller LV in a shorter time on average, platelets were exposed to significantly higher cumulative...
levels of shear. The maximum SH in the small ventricle (65.68 Pa-s) was more than three times the value for the average-sized ventricle (16.05 Pa-s). Statistically, the probability that a platelet would experience very high SH (>10 Pa-s) in the small ventricle is 16 times higher than in the average-sized LV. Moreover, platelet dynamics in the small ventricle are qualitatively different from the average-sized LV model, as observed in the SH versus RT scatter plot in Figure 5. Platelets that reach high values of SH in the small LV do so by being exposed to relatively high values of shear stress (>5 Pa-s) over their short RT in the ventricle. This is in contrast with the high SH platelets in the average-sized ventricle, where they are exposed to above average but still moderate (<2 Pa-s) values of shear stress, but for an extended period of time. This novel mechanism, which has not been described to date, allows platelets to reach high SH even when the median RT is low. Conversely, some platelets recirculate within the domain for extended periods of time but do not accumulate high SH. The high-risk platelets not only spend longer times in the domain but also reach pathologically high levels of SH exposure (>10 Pa-s), which continues to increase for those platelets recirculating in the domain. Additional cardiac cycles in the simulation only confirm that these platelets are subject to an extreme hemodynamic environment, consistent with a significant increase in the risk of initiation of platelet activation and aggregation via SIPA for patients with smaller LVEDd.

Despite great advancements in LVAD therapy over the past decade, HF patients with small ventricles continue to experience more adverse events and complications than patients with average-sized ventricles. Several studies have reported increased incidence of stroke, bleeding, and hemolysis, in addition to impaired long-term survival of small-ventricle patients implanted with an LVAD. In a recent study, patients with a smaller LV had a higher incidence of stroke and other adverse events compared to patients with average to larger LV. Moreover, another recent study confirmed that patients with small preimplant ventricles (<5 cm) had significantly higher mortality and were associated with far worse outcomes, compared to patients with average-sized LVs. The impact of unfavorable hemodynamics in the LV increases as the ventricle undergoes reverse remodeling in response to LVAD therapy.
These studies demonstrate that the intraventricular hemodynamics resulting from LVAD implantation in small ventricles needs further investigation and optimization.

Surgical implantation technique, pump speed, and patient management need to be carefully considered for small ventricle patients. Our study indicates that intraventricular hemodynamics have a strong influence on thrombogenic indices, which is summarized graphically in Figure 7. When analyzed for a similar blood flow rate (5 L/min), smaller intraventricular volumes are associated with lower median RT, but a high percentage of long-RT outliers, and to higher shear rates due to the higher velocities through smaller cross-sectional areas. While there is a commonly held misconception that to decrease the probability of thrombosis, clinicians should err on the side of higher VAD speeds, it is clear that small LV size, rather than decreased VAD speed, is primarily responsible for the observed increased risk of thrombosis. It is thus apparent that if LVAD speed is not decreased accordingly in patients with small LVs, it will further exacerbate thrombogenic hemodynamics, increasing stroke risk. A “one-size-fits-all” approach cannot be applied to patient selection and device speed.

Figure 6. Interdependence of platelet RT and SH behavior for both small (4.5 cm) and average (6.5 cm) LV models. LV, left ventricle; RT, residence time; SH, shear stress history.

Figure 7. Summary graphic indicating thrombogenicity variation according to LV size. LV, left ventricle.
Limitations

The AV region is an area of stagnation as we assumed a closed AV. The rationale for this simplification is 1) the focus of our simulations is near the LV apex and 2) AV opening dynamics and its influence on ventricular and aortic hemodynamics are out of the scope of the current article, but have been analyzed in another study that builds on our previous study of the beneficial effects of AV opening.21 The LV walls were considered rigid, simplifying the dynamics of flow in the LV. An analysis of ventricular wall motion from echo-Doppler revealed minimal changes of the ventricular wall between systole and diastole. Platelets were assumed to have purely elastic collisions in relation to the ventricular walls. Future models should incorporate interplatelet signaling and adhesion models.

Conclusions

Our study demonstrates that LV hemodynamics differ markedly based on LV chamber size. In VAD patients with small LVs, platelets gravitate toward the high thrombogenic (LRT + HSH) zone very quickly, thus, being subjected to pathologically high SH, leading to platelet activation and aggregation, resulting in thrombus formation. This markedly increases the risk of device thrombosis and neurologic events for VAD patients with small LVs, or patients whose LVEDd decreases due to reverse remodeling on support. This should be taken into account for patient selection, device management, and anticoagulation strategies. Small LV chamber size, rather than decreased VAD speed, is primarily responsible for the clinical observation of excess thrombosis in patients with small LVs.
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