Parallel Detection of Fundamental and Sixth Harmonic Signals Using an ICR Cell with Dipole and Sixth Harmonic Detectors
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ABSTRACT: Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometry (FTICR–MS) is a powerful instrument for high-resolution analysis of biomolecules. However, relatively long signal acquisition periods are needed to achieve mass spectra with high resolution. The use of multiple detector electrodes for detection of harmonic frequencies has been introduced as one approach to increase scan rate for a given resolving power or to obtain increased resolving power for a given detection period. The achieved resolving power and scan rate increase linearly with the order of detected harmonic signals. In recent years, ICR cell geometries have been investigated to increase the order of the harmonic frequencies and enhance harmonic signal intensities. In this study, we demonstrated PCB-based ICR cell designs with dipole and sixth harmonic detectors for parallel detection of fundamental and harmonic (6f) signals. The sixth harmonic signals from the sixth harmonic detector showed an expected 6 times higher resolving power with (M + 3H)₃⁺ charge state insulin ions as compared with that from fundamental signals from the dipole detector. Moreover, the insulin isotopic peaks with sixth harmonic frequency signals acquired with the sixth harmonic detector were resolved for a 40 ms data acquisition period but unresolved with the same duration data acquisition period with a 21 T FTICR with mass accuracy less than 150 ppb was achieved for a 0.76 s acquisition period. Therefore, required resolving power with reduced data acquisition periods can be obtained with increased magnetic field strengths, shifting detected frequencies (ωₖ) higher. Recently, a 21 T magnet FTICR–MS instrument has been developed, and its utility has been demonstrated in several fields. For equivalent resolving power, the data acquisition periods with 21 T FTICR–MS are 3-fold less than that with 7 T FTICR–MS. At high resolving power with the same data acquisition periods, increased FT signal acquisition was demonstrated with multiple high-resolution mass analyzers in a single FTICR instrument. Multiple mass analyzers were demonstrated both along the central magnetic field axis and orthogonal to the central magnetic field axis. This approach enables parallel mass spectral acquisition requiring only a single acquisition event. The scan rate with the approach is directly proportional to the number of mass analyzers in the single FTICR–MS. Increased high-mass-resolution scan rates were also demonstrated using an external data acquisition system and advanced data processing approaches that can provide parallel ion accumulation and signal acquisition. With this approach, up to 4-fold higher scan rates were demonstrated with desorption electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry imaging (DESI-MSI).

The use of multiple harmonic detection electrodes has long been realized as a promising technique to reduce data acquisition periods without the loss of resolving power or to increase resolving power during a given data acquisition period. With multiple harmonic detection electrodes, frequencies 2 or more times higher than the fundamental cyclotron frequencies can be measured during a single period.
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of ion cyclotron motion. In general, theoretical resolving power increases linearly with the order of the detected harmonic signals. Over the past several decades, ICR cells with multiple detection electrodes for harmonic signal detection have been demonstrated in many research fields. For example, Vorobyev et al.28 and Cho et al.33 demonstrated ICR cells for analysis of proteins and crude oil, respectively, using second harmonic signals. Misharin et al. demonstrated a coaxial multielectrode cell (O-trap) for detection of third harmonic signals.25 Nagornov et al.,27 Park et al.,31 and Shaw et al.,32 demonstrated detection of fourth harmonic signals using ICR cells with eight detection electrodes. Those ICR cells showed 4× higher resolving power for the same acquisition time as compared with traditional dipole detection. Advantages of multiple detection electrodes have also recently been demonstrated with the combination of online separation techniques including LC34 and GC.35 The multiple detection electrodes showed an increase in the number of detected peaks in comparison to conventional LC− and GC−FTICR−MS. The application of harmonic signal detection has also been demonstrated with other FT-based mass analyzers to improve resolving power and signal-to-noise.36−38 However, to the best of our knowledge, ICR cells that allow the detection of harmonic signals greater than the fourth order have not previously been reported. Here, we demonstrate an ICR cell with dipole and sixth harmonic detectors for parallel detection of dipole and sixth harmonic signals from the same ions and directly compare both signals and optimization of experimental conditions for either signal. The ICR cell used 12 copper wires as dipole detection electrodes and excitation electrodes.39 A total of 12 printed circuit board (PCB) plates were used for multiple detection electrodes.19,20,31,40 These results illustrate that sixth harmonic signals can be exploited to offer scan rate improvements up to 6 times higher than conventional fundamental signal detection and offer potential advancement for accurate mass analysis during online chromatographic separations.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**LTQ−FTICR−MS.** An ICR cell with dipole and sixth harmonic detectors was implemented in a hybrid linear ion trap Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometer (LTQ−FTICR−MS; Thermo Scientific, Bremen, Germany) to acquire parallel signals in all experimental data. The system was equipped with a 7 T actively shielded superconducting magnet (Jastec Japan Superconductor Technology, Tokyo, Japan). To mount the ICR cell, the LTQ−FTICR−MS was modified to support parallel dipole and sixth harmonic detectors, an in-vacuum preamplifier array, and a custom multipin feedthrough flange on the source side of the vacuum system. After the removal of a cylindrical Ultra ICR cell originally equipped with the LTQ−FTICR−MS, the ICR cell was connected to a multichannel programmable DC power supply (Modular Intelligent Power Source (MIPS), GAA Custom Engineering, Benton City, WA, USA)19,20,40 and with a Saleae digitizer (Logic 8, Saleae, South San Francisco, CA, USA).20,40 After installation, the system was pumped and baked out overnight. Electrospray ionization (ESI) was used to generate ions with a syringe pump and directly infuse samples at a rate of 3.0 μL/min. An ESI spray voltage of 3.8 kV was applied to a sample solution through a metal union for ionization. The ions were accumulated in the LTQ and were then transferred to the ICR cell through the original equipment octapole ion guide. Ion populations inside the LTQ were accumulated with automatic gain control (AGC) on
and set to $1.0 \times 10^5$. The pressure in the cell region during all experiments was approximately $0.3 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr as indicated by the ion gauge on this chamber.

**Design of an ICR Cell with Parallel Dipole and Multipole Harmonic Detectors.** ICR cells with parallel dipole and multipole harmonic detectors were constructed with PCB plates with FR4 substrate and copper wires. On the PCB plates, all electrodes including trapping, detection, and front/back lens electrodes were constructed using gold-coated copper. Figure 1 shows images and a schematic diagram of the components of an ICR cell with dipole and sixth harmonic detectors used here for dipole and sixth harmonic signal acquisition. The ICR cell developed for these studies consisted of 12 harmonic signal detection and entrance/exit lens plates, 2 dipole detection, and 10 excitation electrodes made out of copper wires. The harmonic signal detection plates were 0.28" in width and were segmented into five sections as shown in Figure 1a. The middle sections (2.6" in width) were used for sixth harmonic detection electrodes designed as a "6th harmonic detector". The segments with a width of 0.1" next to detection electrodes were used as trapping electrodes. Electrode segments next to the trapping electrodes (0.3" in width) were held at ground potential in all experiments. The total length (along the magnetic field axis) of each of the 12 PCB boards containing these electrodes was 3.44". Figure 1b shows the back side of the detection plates with pads, which were used for connections of trapping electrodes or sixth harmonic detection electrodes. Figure 1c shows entrance and exit lens plates, which contain a 0.2" diameter hole at the center of the electrode, through which ions were transferred from the LTQ ion trap. The detection plates were soldered to the entrance and exit lens plates to assemble the ICR cell with dipole and sixth harmonic detectors. The average gap between harmonic detector plates was 0.025". Dipole detection and excitation electrodes were constructed with 22 AWG copper wires positioned at the junction of harmonic detector plates at radius of 0.65" to minimize shielding of harmonic detectors as shown in Figure S1a.

After that, the trapping electrode segments were electrically coupled together by soldering 24 AWG copper wires on the pads (Figure 1b) on the detection plate outer surface to connect all trapping electrodes. For harmonic signal detection, the sixth harmonic detection electrodes with the same polarity were connected together through other pads (Figure 1b) on the detection plate outer surface. After that, 22 AWG copper wires inserted into the holes for the dipole, and excitation electrodes indicated in Figure 1c were soldered to the entrance/exit lens plates. The distances of the sixth harmonic detector and the copper wires used for the dipole detector and the excitation electrodes from the cell center were 0.7 and 0.65". The detailed information about the connection and position of the dipole as well as the sixth harmonic and excitation electrodes are shown in Figure S1d. In this figure, the blue and green rectangles are positive and negative sixth harmonic detection electrodes, respectively, made by detection plates. The sixth harmonic detection electrodes with the same color were connected together for 6f harmonic signal acquisition through the pads (Figure 1b) on the detection plate outer surface. The red and pink dots are positive and negative dipole electrodes, respectively, made by copper wires. The blue and green dots are positive and negative excitation electrodes, respectively, made by copper wires. The yellow lines indicate copper traces made on the entrance/exit lens plates. The yellow dots are through-hole pads that were used to connect the dipole detector, excitation electrodes, and entrance/exit lens electrodes to the preamplifier, RF supplier, and MIPS, respectively. The copper trances and through-hole pads on the entrance lens plates were used for the entrance lens electrode to MISP and the dipole detector to the preamplifier array. The copper trances and through-hole pads on the exit lens plates were used for the excitation and exit lens electrodes. The write dots (drill holes) were used to install the assembly ICR cell, which was installed at the end of the octopole ion guide in the place of the ThermoFisher Ultra ICR cell. Each detection electrode pair was connected to a custom vacuum-compatible preamplifier array (GAA Custom Engineering) using Kapton-coated wires (22 AWG, Accu-Glass Products, Inc., Valencia, CA) to allow parallel ICR signal amplification. The preamplifier was mounted 0.6" away from the entrance lens plate of the ICR cell to reduce detection capacitance and noise and increase sensitivity. Figure 1d shows the assembled ICR cell.

The circuit board layout program EAGLE ver. 7.3.0 (CadSoft Computer, Pembroke Pines, FL), manufactured by OSH Park (Advanced Circuits, Aurora, CO), was used to prepare all individual PCB components for the ICR cell with dipole and sixth harmonic detectors. The solder used to prepare the ICR cells was 99.3/0.7 Sn–Cu lead-free solder alloy. The total cost for preparing the ICR cell was about $80.

The applied voltages to each electrode for trapping ions were independently controlled with MIPS. To achieve ion cyclotron motion from the trapped ions, the ions were excited with ThermoFisher excitation waveforms as normally used for ICR excitation with the Ultra Cell. The mass range of $m/z$ 200–2000 that covered the frequency range of approximately 500–50 kHz was used for ion excitation. The excitation duration was 10 ms. After ion excitation, parallel dipole and harmonic signals from each detector were amplified with independent preamplifiers and then digitized with a Saleae digitizer. The number of samples and sample rate for the Saleae digitizer were set to 2 621 440 and 6 250 000, respectively. An external power supply was used to supply DC power (±2.5 V) for the in-vacuum preamplifier. The digitized data were transferred to a computer through a USB interface and converted to frequency-domain and mass spectra with ICR-2LS (http://omics.pnl.gov/software/icr-2ls) with Welch apodization and without zero-filling.

**Sample Preparation.** Insulin and Ultramark 1621 (a mixture of fluorinated phosphazenes) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). HPLC grade methanol, acetic acid, and dimethyl sulfoxide were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA). A 10 μM insulin standard solution was prepared by dissolving insulin in a 1:1 (v/v) water/methanol solvent mixture containing 0.1% (v/v) of acetic acid. An Ultramark 1621 stock solution was prepared by dissolving 10 μL of Ultramark 1621 in 10 mL of acetonitrile. A 10 μM solution of Ultramark 1621 was prepared by dissolving 100 μL of the stock solution of Ultramark 1621 in a solution of 1% acetic acid in 50:50 methanol/water.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Characterization of Fundamental and Harmonic Signals.** To characterize fundamental and harmonic signals, parallel time domain signals from dipole and sixth harmonic detectors were obtained at various excitation voltages using a 10 μM solution of Ultramark 1621. For this experiment,
The sixth harmonic detector, respectively. After injection of ions, −9 V applied to the front trapping electrode was switched to +4 V to trap ions. At 8 ms after trapping ions, various excitation voltages from 12−32 Vpp (peak to peak) were applied to excitation electrodes for 10 ms to identify optimal excitation voltages for fundamental and harmonic signals. At 6 ms after the RF voltage was applied, the trapping voltages (+4 V) applied to front and back trapping electrodes were gradually decreased to +3 V, and then, parallel time domain signals from dipole and sixth harmonic detectors were obtained using a Saelae digitizer. The obtained signals were converted to mass spectra to calculate S/N and standard deviation of the S/N as a function of applied excitation voltages. Figure 2 shows the result of characterization of S/N for the base peaks corresponded to the m/z 1422 Ultramark ion as a function of various excitation voltages. In this figure, the red square is a fundamental signal from the dipole detector. The black square, green triangle, purple circle, and blue star are fundamental, second, third, and sixth harmonic signals from the sixth harmonic detector, respectively.

Figure 3 shows parallel mass spectra from dipole and sixth harmonic detectors in a single ICR cell with dipole and eight-plate magnetron motion and the electric field generated primarily from trapping potentials, and f is the measured frequency. For the base peaks corresponded to the m/z 1422 Ultramark ion as a function of various excitation voltages. In this figure, the red square is a fundamental signal from the dipole detector. The black square, green triangle, purple circle, and blue star are fundamental, second, third, and sixth harmonic signals from the sixth harmonic detector, respectively. The maximal S/N for the fundamental signal with dipole and sixth harmonic detectors was obtained at 24 Vpp which corresponds to approximately 75% of the cell radius. The optimal excitation voltage to obtain the maximal S/N for the sixth harmonic peaks from the sixth harmonic detector was 28 Vpp which corresponds to approximately 88% of the cell radius. At this excitation voltage, reduced fundamental, second, and third harmonic peaks from the sixth harmonic detector were observed to less than 12, 22, and 8% of that from the sixth harmonic peaks, respectively.

Figure 3 shows parallel mass spectra from dipole and sixth harmonic detectors in a single ICR cell with excitation at 28 Vpp as an example. Figure 3a shows fundamental signal from the dipole detector. Figure 3b,c shows harmonic signals from the sixth harmonic detector without and with recalibration, respectively. The maximal S/N for the fundamental signal with dipole and sixth harmonic detectors was obtained at 24 Vpp, which corresponds to approximately 75% of the cell radius. The optimal excitation voltage to obtain the maximal S/N for the sixth harmonic peaks from the sixth harmonic detector was 28 Vpp which corresponds to approximately 88% of the cell radius. At this excitation voltage, reduced fundamental, second, and third harmonic peaks from the sixth harmonic detector were observed to less than 12, 22, and 8% of that from the sixth harmonic peaks, respectively.

Figure S2 shows parallel time domain signals of fundamental and sixth harmonic signals for selected ions with excitation at 28 Vpp. Figure S2a,b were obtained from the selected ion at m/z 1422 from a dipole detector and the ion at m/z 237 from a sixth harmonic detector, respectively. The time domain signal for the sixth harmonic frequencies (Figure S2b) showed faster decay than that from the fundamental signal (Figure S2a), which suggests a limitation ultimately exists in the benefits of high harmonic signal detection for ultrahigh-resolution spectra and extended time-domain signal acquisition.

**Resolving Power.** To compare performance from dipole and multipole detectors in a single ICR cell, the achieved resolving power from each spectrum was evaluated with insulin. Figure S3 shows parallel mass spectra from the dipole (Figure S3a) and sixth harmonic (Figure S3b,c) detectors with excitation at 28 Vpp. Figure S3b shows the harmonic signal mass spectrum before recalibration, and Figure S3c shows the recalibrated harmonic signal. The mass spectra with resolving power from the dipole and sixth harmonic detectors with a 300 ms acquisition period are compared in Figure 4. Figure 4a,b shows fundamental and sixth harmonic signals from the dipole and sixth harmonic detector. The sixth harmonic signals showed 6 times higher resolving power with the [M + 3H]+ charge state insulin ions as compared with that from the fundamental signal from the dipole detector as expected. As the detection period was shortened to 200 ms (Figure 4a,b) to decrease data acquisition time and enable higher acquisition rates, ions within the insulin isotopic distribution of the +3 charged state were resolved in 6f harmonic signals acquired with the sixth harmonic detector but unresolved with the conventional dipole detector. Although there might be no improvement for increased charge capacity or reduction of peak coalescence that can be prevented by increasing the magnetic field,42,43 the resolving power obtained with the sixth harmonic detector for sixth harmonic signals for a data acquisition time of 200 ms is comparable to a 21T FTICR−MS operating with a dipole detector for data acquisition times of 400 ms. More importantly, the insulin isotopic distribution of the +3 charged state was resolved in 6f harmonic signals acquired with the sixth harmonic detector but unresolved with the conventional dipole detector. This sixth harmonic frequency detection result demonstrates the ability to acquire isotopically resolved spectra within an acquisition period comparable to the time required for ion accumulation and will greatly benefit chromatographic applications. Figure S4 shows the resolving power of +3 charge state insulin ions from dipole and sixth harmonic detectors as a function of the data acquisition period with the excitation voltages of 24 and 28 Vpp for dipole and sixth harmonic detectors. Respectively. With the sixth harmonic detector, the resolving power was observed to linearly increase with detection period until 800 ms, beyond which no further increase was observed. This observation was due to the fact that the sixth harmonic signals decayed to near noise levels after 800 ms at high excitation voltages (28 Vpp), and therefore, no improvement in resolving power was observed beyond this signal acquisition length. With the dipole detector signals, however, the achieved resolving power linearly increased over the entire 1500 ms data acquisition period. As compared with resolving power for the fourth harmonic signal of +3 charge state insulin ions (R = 14 000 for the 90 ms data acquisition period) obtained from an ICR cell with dipole and eight-plate detectors,31 the ICR cell with a sixth harmonic detector...
showed similar resolving power ($R = 11000$) for 60 ms data acquisition periods that was approximately 1.5 times faster than the ICR cell with dipole and eight-plate detectors in the data acquisition time. Figure S5 illustrates equivalent resolving power spectra acquired with Ultramark 1621 ions obtained with 300 and 40 ms dipole (red) and sixth harmonic (blue) detectors, respectively. These results suggest that with optimization of all other steps in the mass spectrometry experiment (e.g., ion accumulation, injection, excitation, etc.), sixth harmonic signal acquisition can improve the effective spectral acquisition duty cycle by nearly 6-fold. Thus, even though sixth harmonic signals decay faster than dipole signals, sixth harmonic signal detection enables higher acquisition rates of high-resolution spectra that could significantly benefit chromatographic applications.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In this study, we demonstrated sixth harmonic signals using an ICR cell with dipole and sixth harmonic detectors. The dipole and sixth harmonic detectors were used for parallel detection of fundamental and sixth harmonic signals, respectively, from the same ion population. The fundamental signal was used for deconvolution of overlapping harmonic signals and calculation of all possible harmonic frequencies. The sixth harmonic peaks from the sixth harmonic detector showed higher resolving power as well as better mass resolution and S/N as compared with fundamental peaks from the dipole detector. With 200 ms data acquisition periods, ions within the insulin isotopic distribution of the +3 charged state were resolved in sixth harmonic peaks acquired with the sixth harmonic detector but unresolved with the conventional dipole detector.
Moreover, the sixth harmonic signals from the sixth harmonic detector provided isotopic resolving power even with 40 ms data acquisition periods, which are approximately 6 times faster shorter than those with dipole detectors that yield the same resolving power. As compared with the ICR cell with dipole and eight-plate detectors used for parallel detection of first and fourth harmonic signals, the ICR cell with a sixth harmonic detector for sixth harmonic signal detection shows 1.5 times higher resolving power than the ICR cell with dipole and eight-plate detectors for the same data acquisition period or allows a 30% reduction in acquisition time for equivalent resolving power. These advancements could significantly improve chromatographic applications where resolving power of 25 000 to 50 000 is beneficial during MS and MSn analysis. However, the time domain signals for sixth harmonic frequencies also showed faster decay rates than those from the fundamental and fourth harmonic signals. This indicates that still higher resolving power applications of sixth or higher harmonic frequency signals require further developments to reduce high harmonic frequency signal decay rates.

**Figure 5.** Mass spectra of +3 charged insulin ion with different data acquisition periods. Fundamental (a) and 6f harmonic signals (b) from dipole and sixth harmonic detectors, respectively, with a 200 ms data acquisition period. Fundamental (c) and 6f harmonic signals (d) from dipole and sixth harmonic detectors, respectively, with a 40 ms data acquisition period.
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