Deregulation of Neuro-Developmental Genes and Primary Cilium Cytoskeleton Anomalies in iPSC Retinal Sheets from Human Syndromic Ciliopathies
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SUMMARY

Ciliopathies are heterogeneous genetic diseases affecting primary cilium structure and function. Meckel-Gruber (MKS) and Bardet-Biedl (BBS) syndromes are severe ciliopathies characterized by skeletal and neurodevelopmental anomalies, including polydactyly, cognitive impairment, and retinal degeneration. We describe the generation and molecular characterization of human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived retinal sheets (RSs) from controls, and MKS (TMEM67) and BBS (BBS10) cases. MKS and BBS RSs displayed significant common alterations in the expression of hundreds of developmental genes and members of the WNT and BMP pathways. Induction of crystallin molecular chaperones was prominent in MKS and BBS RSs suggesting a stress response to misfolded proteins. Unique to MKS photoreceptors was the presence of supernumerary centrioles and cilia, and aggregation of ciliary proteins. Unique to BBS photoreceptors was the accumulation of DNA damage and activation of the mitotic spindle checkpoint. This study reveals how combining cell reprogramming, organogenesis, and next-generation sequencing enables the elucidation of mechanisms involved in human ciliopathies.

INTRODUCTION

Primary cilia are typically non-motile cytoplasmic extensions of a microtubule-based structure that projects from the cell surface and are indispensable for normal developmental and physiological functions (Nonaka et al., 1998; Satir et al., 2007). The ciliary axoneme develops from and is anchored to a specialized centriole called the basal body (BB) that acts as a microtubule organizing center. The BB is a symmetric radial arrangement of nine triplet microtubules from which the outer doublet of microtubules of the axoneme extends. Syndromic and non-syndromic ciliopathies represent a group of heterogeneous genetic diseases caused by mutations affecting the structure and function of the primary cilium. Phenotypic and genetic heterogeneity is frequently observed in these diseases. The relationship between cilia genes and ciliopathies is far more complex than that described by classical Mendelian genetics and has recently been the focus of numerous reviews (Loncarek and Bettencourt-Dias, 2018; Vertii et al., 2015; Wheway et al., 2018).

Meckel-Gruber syndrome (MKS) and Bardet-Biedl syndrome (BBS) are rare developmental diseases characterized by multiple developmental anomalies, including retinal degeneration, digits and genito-urinary defects, as well as cognitive impairment (Leitch et al., 2008; Álvarez-Satta et al., 2017). Although MKS is fatal at birth, BBS is one of the most severe ciliopathies that is compatible with life. MKS is a lethal autosomal recessive ciliopathy, also presenting polycystic kidneys and severe eye/brain malformations, with over 13 disease-associated genes (Delous et al., 2007; Kytälä et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2006; OMIM, 2019a). In contrast, BBS is a viable disorder associated with obesity and retinal degeneration (Leitch et al., 2008; Álvarez-Satta et al., 2017) and with variants identified in over 22 genes, with BBS10 representing the most commonly mutated gene (Nishimura et al., 2004; OMIM, 2019b). MKS and BBS were in principle considered as two distinct clinical entities. However, the identification of hypomorphic mutations in MKS1 and TMEM67 in some BBS patients introduced the concept that BBS may represent a milder form of MKS (Leitch et al., 2008). The MKS3 protein (encoded by TMEM67) is apparently not an integral part of the MKS complex, but more likely to interact with it (Leitch et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2006). MSK3 localizes to the transition zone at the base of the primary cilium, and
to the plasma membrane in ciliated cells (Reiter et al., 2012). MKS3 has been associated with centrosome migration to the apical cell surface during early ciliogenesis and with the regulation of centrosome organization (Adams et al., 2012; Gupta et al., 2015). The BB derives from the mother centriole, which distinguishes it from the daughter centriole by the presence of appendages on its distal end, allowing the formation of the primary cilium (Chang et al., 2003). Centriolin (also known as CEP110 or CNTRL) is associated with the mother centriole (Ou et al., 2002). Mutations in CNTRL can cause ciliopathies as atroventricular septal defect (Burnicka-Turek et al., 2016). Although some complex, BBS10 most likely interacts with the many BBS-associated proteins are an integral part of the BBSome complex, BBS10 most likely interacts with the BBSome and shows sequence similarities with chaperones (Stoetzel et al., 2006).

The vast majority of genes causing retinal degeneration involves a defect in a ciliary protein (RetNet). Molecular and cellular insights found in this work are potentially relevant to other ciliopathies and non-syndromic retinal disorders. Degeneration of photoreceptors (PRs) is often part of syndromic ciliopathies (Adams et al., 2007; Novarino et al., 2011). Retinal degeneration varies depending on the type of PRs that are primarily affected. Rods respond to dim light and are important for night and peripheral vision. Cones respond to intense light and are required for color, daylight, and high-resolution central vision (Aboshiha et al., 2016). The inner segment (IS) and outer segment (OS) of PRs, which respectively represent the metabolic factory and the structure where phototransduction takes place, are connected by the connecting cilium (CC), which is a modified intracellular version of the primary cilium (Young, 1968). The proteins synthesized in the IS are transported to the base of the CC in post-Golgi vesicles in an area also called the transition zone, where they are associated with molecular transport complexes that allow bidirectional movement along the axoneme, called intraflagellar transport (IFT) (Khanna, 2015; Pazour et al., 2002). The IFT family and other BB-associated proteins, if mutated, may cause mislocalization and accumulation of OS proteins in the IS, causing PR degeneration (Marszalek et al., 2000; Pazour et al., 2002). The RPGR, R1, and RP2 proteins mostly localize at the BB of the CC, and mutations in these are a leading cause of retinitis pigmentosa (Breuer et al., 2002).

Although valuable, animal models have shown limitations in modeling retinal ciliopathies, with patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) representing a new opportunity for modeling human diseases (Grandy et al., 2019). Structures like the macula, responsible for high-resolution central vision, are not present in most commonly used animal models. Moreover, the PR’s ultrastructure between mice and humans differs at the transition zone, an area adjacent to the CC. Here, we found structures called calyceal processes which are present in human but not in mice. Likewise, the USH1G/1C proteins, which are mutated in Usher syndrome, localize to the CC of human PRs but have no equivalent in rodents (Sahly et al., 2012). We report here on the generation of iPSCs from MKS and BBS cases and on their differentiation into retinal sheets (RSs) containing cone PRs (Zhou et al., 2015). We found that RSs from MKS and BBS cases displayed common alterations in hundreds of developmental genes, including homeobox and HOX genes, as well as genes of the WNT, NOTCH, and BMP signaling pathways. MKS PRs showed supernumerary cilia and centrioles and mislocalization of ciliary proteins. BBS PRs presented mitotic spindle checkpoint activation, DNA damage, and genomic instability. Both MKS and BBS PRs accumulated chaperones of the crystallin gene family, suggesting a cellular response to misfolded proteins and/or proteasome dysfunction. This study brings new molecular and cellular biological information on the neurodevelopmental and retinal degeneration anomalies associated with human syndromic ciliopathies.

**RESULTS**

**Generation and Characterization of iPSC-Derived RSs**

We have generated iPSCs from skin fibroblasts of three healthy volunteers (Ctrl1, Ctrl2, and Ctrl3), two unrelated MKS cases (MKS01 and MKS02), and two unrelated BBS cases (BBS01 and BBS02). The iPSCs expressed pluripotency markers, were able to form teratomas and could be differentiated into embryoid bodies containing retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) upon exposure to nicotinamide (Figures S1A–S1D). The presence of an external cilium was also observed in iPSCs using scanning electron microscopy (Figure S1B). By whole-genome sequencing (WGS) of the iPSC lines, we found that both BBS cases carried different mutations in *BBS10*, with a homozygous mutation causing a frameshift stop in the first case (c.271dup), and a compound heterozygous mutation in the second case (c.909_912del; c.687del) (Figures S1E–S1G). The MKS01 case carried compound heterozygous permutations at the *TMEM67* locus (c.233G > A; c.1046T > C) predicted to be pathogenic (Figures S1E–S1G). We could not, however, confirm the disease-causing mutation in the MKS02 case.

Control, BBS01/02, and MKS01/02 iPSCs were differentiated for 60 days in vitro (DIV) into RSs using the same methodology as for human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) (Zhou et al., 2015). Control RSs were analyzed by confocal immunofluorescence. 3D reconstruction imaging revealed the generation of a polarized, multi-layered tissue expressing OS (peanut agglutinin [PNA] and S-opsin), CC (acyethylated...
z-tubulin and RPGR), and nuclear pan-PR (CRX) markers (Figure 1A), suggesting efficient differentiation into RSs. Using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq), we compared the transcriptome of control RSs with that of the Human Retinal Development Atlas (Hoshino et al., 2017), and found that RSs clustered with human embryonic retinas at days 80 and 94. RNA-seq data from control RSs were also compared with the top 50 most upregulated transcripts in adult human retina and the top 50 iPSC-specific transcripts (Li et al., 2014) (Figure 1B). Retinal and PR-specific transcripts, including NR2E1, GRK1, CRX, PDE6H, RXRG, ROM1, CRB1, RPE65, PPH1, RP, RCVRN, ABCA4, and GNAT1, were significantly enriched in RSs. In contrast, iPSC-specific transcripts were lost in RSs, suggesting efficient cellular differentiation (Figure 1C). Despite the presence of rod-specific transcripts in RSs, we failed to detect rhodopsin protein expression using immunoblot or immunofluorescence.

When comparing the entire gene dataset of undifferentiated iPSCs with that of adult human retinas and RSs, we found that 1,534 genes were commonly upregulated in human retinas and RSs. Gene ontology analysis of the top 100 genes revealed that these were primarily implicated in the detection of light stimuli, PR OS organization, PR development and visual perception (Figure 1D). We also performed a principal-component analysis of RSs and iPSC/hESC lines. Although iPSCs and hESCs were generally scattered, RSs from all samples were grouped together, suggesting cellular differentiation toward a common lineage (Figure 1E). We next used immunoblot to test if RSs expressed PR-specific proteins. We found that Ctrl01/02/03, MKS01/02, and BBS01/02 RSs were all expressing CRX (expressed in PR and in a subset of bipolar neurons) and S-opsin (expressed exclusively in cone PRs) (Figure 2A). Taken as a whole, these results suggested robust differentiation of iPSC lines into RSs.

Deregulation of Neurodevelopmental Genes in MKS and BBS RSs

We compared RNA-seq data from Ctrl01/02, MKS01/02, and BBS01 RSs and found thousands of genes commonly dysregulated in ciliopathies (Figures 2B and 2C). A total of 1,597 genes were dysregulated in both BBS01 and MKS01/02 samples when compared with controls (661 upregulated, 936 downregulated) (Figures 2D and 3A). Among the 661 commonly upregulated genes, most were related to neural/retinal development (DLX1, VSX1, and SIX6), differentiation (NEUROD4 and ASCL1/MASH1), and function (SLC32A1 and NTRK1), while others were associated with ganglion and amacrine cell fate, suggesting premature and/or increased neurogenesis and perturbed retinal cell fate specification in ciliopathies (Figures 2E–2G and S2A–S2D). Different homeobox genes including members of the HOX family were also upregulated in both BBS01 and MKS01/02 RSs (Figure S2A). Defective NOTCH and/or WNT signaling is frequently associated with premature neurogenesis and/or cell-cycle exit of neural progenitors (Ma et al., 2019; Navarro Quiroz et al., 2018). Hence, we found altered expression of genes of the WNT and NOTCH signaling pathways in both MKS and BBS RSs (Figures S2B and S2C). Further analyses suggested major deregulation of WNT signaling in ciliopathies toward the canonical pathway at the expense of the non-canonical one, which is known to play a role in planar cell polarity and cilia formation (Figure S2B) (May-Simera and Kelley, 2012).

Alterations of Genes Involved in Development, Morphogenesis, and Cilia Formation

When analyzing genes commonly downregulated in BBS01 and MKS01/02 RSs, we found significant alteration of genes involved in development (112 genes) and/or morphogenesis (138 genes) (Figures 3A–3C). We next grouped these...
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genes among the most representative clusters and compared them by focusing on genes common to different organs/systems (Figures 3A–3D). We found five developmental genes—Noggin (NOG), SIX1, BMP4, CDH19, OSRI—all involved in kidney, heart, ear, skeletal, circulatory, and retinal development (Ahmed et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2014). Three of them, NOG, SIX1, and OSRI, were also present in most of the morphogenetic groups. Other genes, such as MSX1, TWIST1, SIX2, and OSR2 were present in some of the morphogenetic and developmental groups (Figure 3B) (Goodnough et al., 2016; Li et al., 2003; Paradowska-Stolarz, 2015). PITX2 was one of the most downregulated developmental genes in both MKS01/02 and BBS01 RSs (Figure 3D). Notably, a large number of genes involved in cilium assembly/organization and intraciliary transport were downregulated in MKS and BBS RSs (Figures S3A–S3C) (HUGO, 2019). From these, 21 corresponded to the cilia- and flagella-associated protein gene family (Figure S3B). We also found 962 genes upregulated and 684 genes downregulated only in MKS01/02 RSs (Figures S3D–S3H). Among these, many were associated with the development and anterior-posterior pattern specification (Figures S3E and S3H). Several genes of the HOX family were also specifically upregulated only in MKS 01/02 RSs (Figures S3D–S3H). We also found 962 genes upregulated and 684 genes downregulated only in MKS01/02 RSs (Figures S3D–S3H). Among these, many were associated with the development and anterior-posterior pattern specification (Figures S3E and S3H). Several genes of the HOX family were also specifically upregulated only in MKS01/02 RSs, in agreement with MKS being the most severe form of syndromic ciliopathy (Figure S3F).

**Induction of the Crystallin Molecular Chaperones in MKS and BBS RSs**

From the top 10 most upregulated genes common to BBS and MKS RSs, we found that six encoded members of the crystallin gene family (Figures S4A–S4C) (Kamachi et al., 2001). In non-lens tissues, these proteins work as molecular chaperones against protein misfolding. Using immunoblot and immunofluorescence, we observed specific accumulation of CRYBB1, CRYBB2, and CRYBB3 in both the nuclear and cytoplasmic cell compartments of BBS01 and MKS01 PRs at DIV45 (Figures 4A–4C, S4A, and S4B). Polyubiquitin chains at lysine 48 (polyUb-K48) mark proteins for proteasomal degradation and poly-ubiquitylated proteins frequently accumulate in neurodegenerative diseases (Smith et al., 2015). When compared with control RSs, MKS01 and MKS02, but not BBS01 RSs, presented increased polyUb-K48 levels, suggesting perturbed proteostasis (Figure 4B). Accumulation of misfolded proteins is frequently associated with neuronal cell death (Tzekov et al., 2011). Accordingly, we observed increased expression of apoptosis and cell death-related genes in MKS01/02 and BBS01 RSs (Figure S4D). Induction of PR cell death in MKS and BBS RSs was also confirmed using the TUNEL assay and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Figures 4D–4I and S4E–S4H). These results suggested a cellular response to misfolded proteins and increased cell death in MKS01/02 and BBS01 PRs.

**MKS PRs Are Characterized by the Presence of Supernumerary Centrioles**

We performed cell biological analyses to study the structure of the cilium in MKS and BBS PRs. The cilantro forms the basement of the primary cilium, and Centriolin marks the mother cilium and BB (Chang et al., 2003). Using an antibody against Centriolin, we found that, when compared with controls, the number of centrioles per cell was higher in DIV60 MKS01/02 PRs, but not in BBS01/02 PRs (Figures 5A and 5B). This was confirmed by TEM (Figures 5C and 5D), where cilia also appeared to be shorter in MKS (Figures 5C and 5D). Although the total number of cells tended to be higher in MKS and BBS cultures, the difference was not significant (not shown). Notably, supernumerary centrioles were not observed in undifferentiated MKS01 iPSCs (Figures S5A–S5C), suggesting that the defect was cell-type specific. We did not elucidate the pathogenic mutation in the MKS02 case. However, in the MKS01 case, the two identified mutations in TMEM67 were predicted to generate a pathogenic but full-length variant of MKS3 (Figures S1G and...
S1H). Hence, we could observe by immunoblot the presence of MKS3 in Ctrl01, MKS01/02, and BBS01 RSs (red). Using antibodies against Centriolin and MKS3, we performed confocal immunofluorescence imaging and 3D reconstruction on dissociated control PRs. We observed three different configurations between Centriolin and MKS3: BBs and daughter centrioles surrounding MKS3 (F’); MKS3 linked to the centriole (F”); and unlinked MKS3 and Centriolin (F’) (Figure 5F). Notably, while we observed that MKS3 was often in close association with Centriolin in control and BBS01 PRs (Pearson’s coefficient correlation = 0.29 and 0.23), this association was near absent in MKS01 PRs (Pearson’s coefficient correlation = 0.06) (Figures 5E–5G). These results suggested defective interaction of MKS3 with the centriole in MKS01 PRs, possibly leading to the production of supernumerary centrioles (see model in Figure S6).

**Figure 3. Reduced Expression of Genes Involved in Development and Morphogenesis**

(A) Venn diagrams showing the intersection of significant genes differentially downregulated between MKS01/02 (blue) and BBS01 RSs (red). (B and C) Venn diagrams showing the intersection of significative downregulated genes belonging to GO groups involved in the morphogenesis (B) or in the development (C) of five organs/systems commonly affected in both MKS and BBS (log2FC > 2; p < 0.05). (D) Gene expression levels in BBS01 and MKS01/02 RSs at DIV60 of genes implicated in both development and morphogenesis. In red, the genes common to all five developmental groups with a role also in morphogenesis. All values are means ± SEM. *p < 0.05, Student’s unpaired t test.

MKS01 PRs Have Smaller but Supernumerary Cilia and Present Abnormal Accumulations of Ciliary Proteins

Using an antibody against acetylated α-tubulin, we found that supernumerary centrioles in MKS01 PRs were also associated with an increasing number of cilia (Figures 6A–6C). This phenotype was not observed in BBS01 PRs. We performed quantitative analyses of the images using IMARIS, which revealed that, although more numerous, cilia in MKS01 PRs were shorter and thinner than normal (Figures...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>Log2FC</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Log2FC</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRYGC</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>4.7E-39</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2.1E-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHMT</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>1.1E-06</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.1E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYGD</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>1.1E-06</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>1.1E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYBB1</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.8E-33</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>5.9E-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYGA</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>7.2E-08</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>1.0E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYBA4</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>7.3E-12</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>1.1E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIP</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>2.6E-28</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>2.9E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAX2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3.4E-15</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.1E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLITRK2</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.8E-70</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>1.4E-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYBA1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>6.2E-27</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>1.1E-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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MKS01 RSs were disorganized, as revealed by the unequal distribution of PNA. Furthermore, the signal for RPGR was mislocalized and tended to accumulate in aggregates. RPGR was also mislocalized in RSs from the BBS01 patient (Figure 6G). These results suggested supernumerary cilia, abnormal retinal tissue morphogenesis, and aggregation of ciliary proteins in MKS PRs.

**DISCUSSION**

We generated iPSCs from control and ciliopathy cases and differentiated them into polarized 3D-adherent RSs that could recapitulate normal PR development and disease state, respectively. By WGS, we identified mutations in BBS10 (BBS01 and BBS02 patients) and TMEM67 (MKS01 case). Using RNA-seq analyses and high-resolution fluorescence microscopy, we were able to identify and study retinal developmental anomalies and PR degeneration processes that characterized MKS and BBS. Importantly, we could also distinguish specific pathological features that were either common or unique to the two syndromes.

Previous reports based on rare genetic cases suggested that BBS and MKS may represent a “unique disease” with distinct phenotypes characterizing these disorders.

**Figure 4. Induction and Accumulation of Crystallins in MKS and BBS RSs**

(A) Venn diagrams showing the intersection of significant genes differentially upregulated between MKS01/02 (blue) and BBS01 RSs (red) (log2FC > 2; p < 0.05), and the top 10 upregulated genes common to the two groups with their respective log2FC and p value for each group. Genes that are members of the crystallin family are highlighted in red.

(B) Immunoblot on extracts from undifferentiated iPSCs and RSs.

(C) Immunofluorescence (IF) representative images showing CRYBB1 and CRYBB3 accumulation in MKS01/02 and BBS01 CRX-positive cells at DIV45. Note the extracellular and intracellular accumulation of crystallin (white arrows). Scale bars, 10 μm.

(D) TEM representative images of RSs at DIV60. BBs (white arrowheads) and dead cells (black arrowheads). For each condition at the bottom some high-magnification images. In Ctrl04 we can observe IS structure with high density of mitochondria, BBs near the apical surface, and marked gap junction. A beginning of OS with stack-like structures (black arrows) can be observed beyond the apical surface. Vesicles with laminar material are also observed near the surface. Big vesicles similar to lysosomes were particularly abundant in MKS RSs. M, mitochondria; A, apoptotic cells; N, necrotic cells.

(E–G) Quantification of the percentage of total dead cells (E), apoptotic (F), and necrotic (G) cells observed by TEM at DIV60 (n = 3–4 fields for condition, an average of 24 cells for field).

(H) IF representative images in dissociated cells culture at DIV20. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(I) Quantification of the percentage of TUNEL-positive cells over total nuclei at DIV20 (n = 4–5 fields for condition, an average of 85 cells for field).

n = 3 independent biological replicates for all experiments. All values are means ± SEM, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 by one-way ANOVA test.
and kidney anomalies are commonly observed in MKS and BBS. Hence, we found deregulation of hundreds of neurodevelopmental genes, most of them common to both ciliopathies. WNT, NOTCH, and HOX signaling pathways were highly affected, consistent with the essential function of WNT and NOTCH in neural development, and with the role of the HOX gene cluster in axial skeleton development and digit formation (Sheth et al., 2012; Tan et al., 2013; Wheway et al., 2013). Unexpectedly, we observed significant downregulation of NOG, BMP, SIX1, MSX1, TWIST, OSR1, and PITX2. NOG and BMP are important for nervous system, muscle, and bone development, while the others are required for the differentiation of intermediate mesoderm-derivative (gonads and kidneys) and limb buds (OSR1), in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (TWIST), in the development of the neck, ears, and kidneys (SIX1), and of oral structures (MSX1). PITX2 is involved in the establishment of the left-right axis and morphogenesis of many organs (Campione et al., 1999; Zacharias et al., 2011). Mutations in PITX2 are associated with Axenfeld-Rieger syndrome, iridogoniodygenesis syndrome, and sporadic cases of Peters anomaly, revealing its important role in eye development (Kozlowski and Walter, 2000). Notably, polydactyly, craniofacial, neural, retinal, ocular, skeletal, and kidney anomalies are commonly observed in syndromic ciliopathies (Brunham, 2009; Novarino et al., 2011). Thus, developmental defects observed in peripheral organs and musculoskeletal structures of MKS and BBS patients were revealed in our gene expression profile analyses of RSs.

We found upregulation of several apoptotic genes and genes of the beta- and gamma-crystallin families in both MKS and BBS RSs. Alpha-crystallins are known to operate as molecular chaperones for misfolded proteins and can be found in ubiquitin-associated inclusions in neurodegenerative diseases (Lowe et al., 2001; Thornell and Aquilina, 2015). In contrast, the function of beta- and gamma-crystallins in non-lens tissue is not well understood. Some studies have linked the expression of beta- and gamma-crystallins to retinal diseases. It has also been observed that gamma- and beta-crystallin production increases before PR cell death in animal models of retinitis pigmentosa and light-induced retinal degeneration (Fort et al., 2009; Organisciak et al., 2006; Piri et al., 2007; Sakaguchi et al., 2003). βB2-Crystallin (CRYBB2) was shown to be strongly expressed in regenerating ganglion cells where it may promote axonal regrowth (Liedtke et al., 2007). Thus, although the exact role of crystallins in neurodegenerative contexts remains to be clarified, our findings reveal robust activation of this pathway in PRs from ciliopathy patients.

In MKS PRs, we observed near absent co-localization of MKS3 with Centriolin, which may explain the presence of supernumerary centrioles. Cilia were also shorter and thinner than normal, suggesting cilia degeneration and/or abnormal formation and maintenance. Notably, it was shown that, in mouse renal-tubule epithelial cells, reducing the level of MKS3 using small interfering RNA impaired the number of cilia, whereas its complete loss caused elongated cilia (Cook et al., 2009). Multi-ciliated cells were also observed in MKS patients and in a rat model of MKS3 (Gattone et al., 2004). We also found that MKS RSs were highly disorganized and that the signal for RPGR was mislocalized and tended to accumulate in aggregates. BBS RSs also showed abnormal RPGR localization. Unlike MKS PRs, however, RPGR was generally mislocalized at the basement of BBS PRs, suggesting abnormal transport or docking to the BB. This reveals both similarities and differences between the two syndromes. Moreover, genes implicated in canonical WNT signaling were upregulated, and this was apparently at the expense of the non-canonical one. In polarized cells, the cilium grows...
Figure 6. Supernumerary Cilia and Aggregation of Ciliary Proteins in MKS01 PRs

(A) IF of PRs at DIV60. Composed image from two focal planes (DAPI and acetyl-α-tubulin). Scale bar, 10 μm.
(B and C) Quantification of the number of cilia (acetyl-α-tubulin) per cells (B) and the number of CRX-positive cells per field (C) in cells at DIV60 (n = 4 fields for condition, an average of 53 cells for a field).
(D) Surface rendering 3D reconstruction from confocal z stack images of Ctrl01 (green) and MKS01 (red) based on acetyl-α-tubulin staining (left). Scale bar, 2 μm. A 3D plot of cilia (cilia from three images put together for each condition) based on area and volume (right). Notice how control cilia clustered together while MKS01 cilia are more heterogeneous, smaller, and more abundant (n = 2 independent experiments).
(E) Quantification of area and volume of Ctrl01 and MKS01 cilia (ctrl n = 20; MKS n = 47).
(F) Representation of cone PRs with reference to OS, CC, IS, and nucleus, and the localization of the markers used in (G).

(legend continued on next page)
at the apical side. During ciliogenesis, planar cell polarity and apical-basal polarity are necessary for docking of the centrioles at the plasma membrane, and for correct formation and maintenance of PR BBs (Kim et al., 2010; Oishi et al., 2006). Taken as a whole, these observations suggest that hallmarks of the MKS phenotype previously reported in MKS patients and animal models were recapitulated at the cellular and molecular levels in MKS01/02 RSs. Furthermore, we described for the first time the presence of supernumerary cilia and centrioles in MKS01/02 PRs, which was associated with reduced co-localization of MKS3 with Centriolin in the MKS01 case, suggesting deficient interaction of the mutant MKS3 protein with centrioles. In the MKS02 case, which does not carry mutations in TMEM67, the number of centrioles/cell was about 2-fold higher than in controls and BBS01/02 cases but was less severe than in MKS01. It is not surprising thus that despite carrying mutations in different genes, MKS cases show highly related phenotypes at the cellular and molecular levels.

Previous reports have described cilia proteins with cilia-independent functions, including mitotic spindle generation and mitotic process regulation (Hua and Ferland, 2018; Vertii et al., 2015; Yuan and Sun, 2013). Unexpectedly, we found genomic instability in BBS01/02 retinal progenitors and PRs, with the most prominent feature being the activation of the mitotic spindle checkpoint. This suggests a new and essential role of BBS10 in microtubule cytoskeleton organization during mitosis.

In summary, we have produced iPSCs from control, and MKS and BBS cases, and differentiated them into RSs, allowing recapitulation of normal and pathological human retinal and PRs development in vitro. Molecular and cell biological analyses further revealed known and novel disease mechanisms associated with retinal ciliopathies, opening potentially new avenues for disease treatment.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**iPSC Generation and Characterization**

Human PSCs were used in accordance with the Canadian Institute Health Research (CIHR) guidelines and approved by the “Comité de Surveillance de la Recherche sur les Cellules Souches” of the CIHR and the Maisonneuve-Rosemont Hospital Ethic Committee. MKS and BBS fibroblasts were obtained from clinically diagnosed individuals (Coriell Biorepository). These were reprogrammed with the Yamanaka factors, OCT4, SOX2, KLF4, and c-MYC, using the pMIG vector set. Stem cells were grown on MEF feeder layers (Global Stem Cell GSC-6001G) in iPSC medium. To generate teratomas, ~3 x 10⁶ undifferentiated iPSCs were implanted beneath the neck scuff of non-obese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficiency immunodeficient mice. Tumors were harvested 12 weeks later and processed for histology analysis. See Supplemental Information for details.

**Differentiation of Human ESCs and iPSCs into RSs**

iPSCs and ESCs were dissociated using ReLeSR (STRMCELL Technologies, cat. no. 05,872) and plated on growth factor reduced Matrigel (Corning, no. 356231) in StemFlex cell medium (Gibco, no. A3349401) supplemented with ROCK-inh (Y-27632; 10 µM, Cayman Chemical, no. 10005583). When PSCs reach full confluence, the medium was switched to differentiation medium supplemented with 60 ng/mL of recombinant COCO as described in Zhou et al. (2015). See Supplemental Information for details.

**RNA-Seq and WGS Analyses**

RNA-seq libraries were prepared using Ion Total RNA-Seq Kit v.2. Libraries were sequenced onto P1 chips from Ion Torrent as unpaired to reach 40 million reads for each sample. Raw sequencing files (FASTQ) were validated using FASTQC v.0.11.7.

Base calling for WGS was performed using Illumina HiSeq Analysis Software (v.2—2.355.1311). Reads were mapped to the b37 reference sequence. See Supplemental Information for details.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad software (Prism 6). Statistical differences were analyzed using the Student’s t test for unpaired samples. Values are representative of at least three experiments. When comparisons were made using independent samples of equal size and variance following a normal distribution, significance was assessed using an unpaired two-sided Student’s t test. Where several groups were compared, significance was assessed by ANOVA and adjusted for multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni correction. Differential expression was assayed using a log2 fold change statistical algorithm or one-way ANOVA with a p value cutoff at 0.05. For gene ontology, a false discovery rate cutoff of 0.01 was applied.

**ACCESSION NUMBERS**

RNAseq Data was deposited in the GEO: GSE133247. WGS data were deposited in BioSample database: SAMN13656497; SAMN13656498

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stemcr.2020.02.005.
A

Cell cycle and mitotic checkpoint

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Symbol</th>
<th>Log2FC BBS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDC60</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRC5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBE2C</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAG5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECT2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNB2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NED2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIF23</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIF4A</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIF4B</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPM2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLK1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUB1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENP6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTG3P</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEP19</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC20</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC33</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPX2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDR62</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUSAP1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNB2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZWINT</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIF18A</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIF14</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCP2H</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AURKA</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RANBP1L</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUFS</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP5</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKA3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAT316D0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNA2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNB2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCNF</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRSK2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC25C</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B

GO Biological process

1. positive regulation of exit from mitosis (GO:0001636)
2. regulation of attachment of spindle microtubules to kinetochore (GO:0051988)
3. mitotic spindle midzone assembly (GO:0051258)
4. regulation of exit from mitosis (GO:0007096)
5. spindle checkpoint (GO:0031577)
6. negative regulation of mitotic sister chromatid segregation (GO:0001636)
7. mitotic spindle assembly (GO:0000724)
8. regulation of mitotic sister chromatid segregation (GO:0000724)
9. microtubule cytoskeleton organization involved in mitosis (GO:0003880)
10. mitotic sister chromatid segregation (GO:0000707)

C

γH2Ax

D

53BP1

E

Number of γH2Ax foci per cell

F

Number of 53BP1 foci per cell

G

Number of pCHK2 foci per cell

H

Nuclear diameter >20μm

I

Nuclear bridges

Mitotic catastrophes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Nuclear diameter &gt;20μm</th>
<th>Nuclear bridges</th>
<th>Micronucleus</th>
<th>Spindle abnormalities</th>
<th>Mitotic catastrophes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ctrl</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBS</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>10.8%*</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MKS</td>
<td>4.8%*</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>15.7%***</td>
<td>5.2%**</td>
<td>3.1%****</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(legend on next page)
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Figure 7. Activation of the Mitotic Spindle Checkpoint and Accumulation of DNA Damage in BBS PRs
(A) Venn diagrams showing the intersection of significant genes differentially upregulated in MKS01/02 (blue) and BBS61 RSs (red) (log2FC > 2; p < 0.05).
(B) Gene ontology enrichment analyses of BBS61-specific differentially upregulated genes. The main GO group and subgroups are shown. Some representative genes and the respective log2FC (p < 0.05) are indicated for each category.
(C and D) IF images of PR progenitors at DIV15 for γH2AxB (C), and 53BP1 (D). The percentage of positive cells (more than three foci per cell) are indicated on the respective images (average n = 253 cells for each condition). Scale bars, 10 μm.
(E–G) Quantification of the average number of foci per cell for γH2AxB (E), 53BP1 (F), and pCHK2 (G) in PR progenitors at DIV15 (n > 3 fields for condition, an average of 84 cells for a field).
(H) Immunoblot on extracts from undifferentiated iPSCs and RSs at DIV60.
(I) Representative images for common mitotic abnormalities and the respective percentages of cells for each condition in PR progenitors at DIV15. White arrows, giant nucleus; blue arrows, nuclear bridge; red arrows, micronuclei; yellow arrows, multifocal spindle; green arrows, fragmented nuclei strongly marked by the anti-γH2AxB antibody. Scale bars, 10 μm.
n = 3 independent biological replicates for all experiments. All values are means ± SEM. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001, Student’s unpaired t test.
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